arXiv:math/0111011v2 [math.PR] 3 May 2002

SAMPLE PATH PROPERTIES OF THE STOCHASTIC FLOWS.

D. DOLGOPYAT, V. KALOSHIN, L. KORALOV

Abstract. We consider a stochastic flow driven by a finite dimensional Brownian motion. We show that almost every realization of such a flow exhibits strong statistical properties such as the exponential convergence of an initial measure to the equilibrium state and the central limit theorem. The proof uses new estimates of the mixing rates of the multipoint motion.

1. Introduction.

The subject of this paper is the study of the long-time behavior of a passive substance (or scalar) carried by a stochastic flow. Motivation comes from applied problems in statistical turbulence and oceanography, Monin & Yaglom [MY], Yaglom [Y], Davis [Da], Isichenko [I], and Carmona & Cerou [CC]. The questions we discuss here are also related to the physical basis of the Kolmogorov model for turbulence, Molchanov [Mo].

The physical mechanism of turbulence is still not completely understood. It was suggested in [RT] that the appearance of turbulence could be similar to the appearance of chaotic behavior in finite-dimensional deterministic systems. Compared to other situations, the mechanism responsible for stochasticity in deterministic dynamical systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents is relatively well understood. It is caused by a sensitive dependence on initial conditions, that is, by exponential divergence of nearby trajectories. It is believed that a similar mechanism can be found in many other situations, but mathematical results are scarce. Here we describe a setting where analysis similar to the deterministic dynamical systems with nonzero Lyapunov exponents can be used. In the paper we shall consider a flow of diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold, generated by solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by a finite-dimensional Brownian motion.

We show that *the presence of non-zero exponents combined with certain non-degeneracy conditions* (amounting roughly speaking to the assumption that the noise can move the orbit in any direction) *implies almost surely chaotic behavior* in the following sense:

D.D. was partially supported by NSF and Sloan Foundation, V. K. was partially supported by American Institute of Mathematics Fellowship and Courant Institute, and L. K. was partially supported by NSF postdoctoral fellowship.

- Exponential, in time, decay of correlations between the trajectories with different initial data.
- Equidistribution of images of submanifolds.
- Central Limit Theorem, with respect to the measure on a "rich enough" subset, which holds for almost every fixed realization of the underlying Brownian motion.

In order to illustrate the last point, let us consider a periodic flow on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let ν be a Lebegue probability measure concentrated on an open subset. As a motivating example one may think of an oil spot on the surface of the ocean. The ultimate goal could be to remove the oil or at least to prevent it from touching certain places on the surface of ocean. Thus, we wish to predict the properties and the probability laws governing the dynamics of the spot in time. Let ν_t be the measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ induced from ν by time t map of the flow. We shall show that almost surely ν_t is asymptotically equivalent to a Gaussian measure with variance of order t. In other words, for a sufficiently large positive R for large time 99 percent of the oil spot is contained in the ball of radius $R\sqrt{t}$.

Even though we consider the random flows generated by SDEs, very little in our approach relies on the precise form of the noise, and in a future work we shall show how to generalize our results to other random flows. Thus our work could be considered as a first step in extending deterministic dynamical system picture to a more general setup.

As a next step one may attempt to obtain the same results for the so-called Isotropic Brownian Flows introduced by Ito (1956) and Yaglom (1957). This is a class of flows for which the image of any simple point is a Brownian motion and the dependence (the covariance tensor) between two different points is a function of distance between these points. Related problems for this case have been studied by Harris [H], Baxendale [BH], Le Jan [L1], Cranston, Scheutzow, Steinsaltz [CSS1] and [CSS2], Cranston, Scheutzow [CS], Lisei, Scheutzow [LS], Scheutzow, Steinsaltz [SS] and others.

The precise statements of our results are given in the next section. The proofs are carried out in Sections 3–7. Section 8 deals with dissipative flows. Application to passive transport problems [CC, CSS1] will be given elsewhere.

2. Central Limit Theorems and an application to periodic flows.

2.1. Measure-Preserving Nondegenerate Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms.

Let M be a C^∞ smooth, connected, compact Riemannian manifold with a smooth Riemannian metric d and an associated smooth measure μ. Consider on M a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms

$$dx_t = \sum_{k=1}^{d} X_k(x_t) \circ d\theta_k(t) + X_0(x_t)dt \tag{1}$$

where $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_d$ are C^∞-vector fields on M and $\vec{\theta}(t) = (\theta_1(t), \dots, \theta_d(t))$ is a standard $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued Brownian motion. Since the differentials are in the sense of Stratonovich, the associated generator for the process is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d} X_k^2 + X_0 \tag{2}$$

Let's impose additional assumptions on the vector fields $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_d$. All together we impose five assumptions (A) through (E). All these assumptions except (A) (measure preservation) are *nondegeneracy assumptions* and are satisfied for a generic set of vector fields $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_d$. Now we formulate them precisely.

(A) (*measure preservation*) The stochastic flow $\{x_t : t \geq 0\}$, defined by (1), almost surely w.r.t. to the Wiener measure $\mathcal{W}$ of the Brownian motion $\vec{\theta}$ preserves the measure μ on M;

(B) (*hypoellipticity for x_t*) For all $x \in M$ we have

$$Lie(X_1, \dots, X_d)(x) = T_xM, \tag{3}$$

i.e. the linear space spanned by all possible Lie brackets made out of $X_1, \dots, X_d$ coincides with the whole tangent space T_xM at x;

Denote by

$$\Delta = \{(x^1, x^2) \in M \times M :\ x^1 = x^2\} \tag{4}$$

the diagonal in the product $M \times M$.

(C_2) (*hypoellipticity for the two–point motion*) The generator of the two–point motion $\{(x_t^1, x_t^2) :\ t > 0\}$ is nondegenerate away from the diagonal $\Delta(M)$, meaning that the Lie brackets made out of $(X_1(x^1), X_1(x^2)), \dots, (X_d(x^1), X_d(x^2))$ generate $T_{x^1}M \times T_{x^2}M$.

To formulate the next assumption we need additional notations. For $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times M$ let $Dx_t : T_{x_0}M \to T_{x_t}M$ be the linearization of x_t at t. We need an analog of hypoellipticity condition (B) for the process $\{(x_t, Dx_t) :\ t > 0\}$. Denote by TX_k the derivative of the vector field X_k thought as the map on TM and by $SM = \{v \in TM :\ |v| = 1\}$ the unit tangent bundle on M. If we denote by $\tilde{X}_k(v)$ the projection of $TX_k(v)$ onto T_vSM, then the stochastic flow (1) on M induces by a stochastic flow on the unit tangent bundle SM is defined by the following equation:

$$d\tilde{x}_t(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{X}_k(\tilde{x}_t(v)) \circ d\theta_k(t) + \tilde{X}_0(\tilde{x}_t(v))dt \ \text{ with } \tilde{x}_0(v) = v, \tag{5}$$

where $v \in SM$. With these notations we have condition

(D) (*hypoellipticity for (x_t, Dx_t)*) For all $v \in SM$ we have

$$Lie(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_d)(v) = T_vSM\ .$$

The next condition is that the stochastic flow (x_t, Dx_t) has a nonzero Lyapunov exponent. This condition can be expressed in terms of a certain integral being nonzero. To define such an integral precisely we need to introduce some additional objects. Under condition (D) of hypoellipticity of (x_t, Dx_t) there a unique stationary probability measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on SM for the one–point motion $\{\tilde{x}_t : \ t > 0\}$ on SM. Moreover, $\tilde{\mu}$ admits positive density w.r.t. Riemannian measure on SM. Denote by $\pi : TM \to M$ the natural projection of TM onto M. Consider the Levy-Civita connection ∇ for the Riemannian structure on M to define the horizontal space T_vTM for $v \in TM$ as the one identified with $T_{\pi v}M$. Then TX_k is the vector field on TM for which $X_k(x)$ and $\nabla X_k(x)(v)$ are the horizontal and vertical components of $TX_k(v), \ v \in T_xM$ respectively. Define now the set of $d+1$ functions

$$g_k(v) =< \nabla X_k(\pi v)(v), v >, \quad v \in SM, \ \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, d.$$

$$R(v) = g_0(v) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} (L_{\tilde{X}_k} g_k)(v). \tag{6}$$

With the above notations we have a formula of Carverhill [C1] for the largest Lyapunov exponent:

$$\lambda_1 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |Dx_t(x)(v)| = \int_{SM} R(v) d\tilde{\mu}(v). \tag{7}$$

(E) (*positive Lyapunov exponent*) Our last assumption is

$$\lambda_1 > 0. \tag{8}$$

For measure-preserving stochastic flows with conditions (D) Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\dim M}$ do exist by *multiplicative ergodic theorem for stochastic flows* of diffeomorphisms (see [C2], thm. 2.1). Moreover, the sum of Lyapunov exponents $\sum_{j=1}^{\dim M} \lambda_j$ should be zero (see e.g. [BS]). Therefore, to have a positive Lyapunov exponent it is sufficient to have a nonzero Lyapunov exponent. Lyapunov exponents of the stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms have been investigated by various authors, see [Ba, C1, ES] and references there.

2.2. **CLTs for Measure-Preserving Nondegenerate Stochastic Flows of Diffeomorphisms.** From now on we shall consider only the stochastic flows, defined by (1), and satisfying assumptions (A) through (E). The first CLT for such flows is CLT for additive functionals of the two-point motion.

Denote by $\{A_t^{(2)} : \ t > 0\}$ an additive functional of the two–point motion $\{(x_t^1, x_t^2) : \ t > 0\}$. Suppose $A_t^{(2)}$ is governed by the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation

$$dA_t^{(2)}(x_0^1, x_0^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_k(x_t^1, x_t^2) \circ d\theta_k(t) + a(x_t^1, x_t^2)dt, \tag{9}$$

where $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^d$ and a are C^∞-smooth functions, such that

$$\iint_{M\times M} \Big[a(x^1,x^2)+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \Big(L_{(X_k,X_k)}\alpha_k\Big)(x^1,x^2)\Big]\ d\mu(x^1)\ d\mu(x^2)=0 \tag{10}$$

and $\left(L_{(X_k,X_k)}\alpha_k\right)(x^1,x^2)$ denotes the derivative of α_k along the vector field (X_k,X_k) on $M\times M$ at the point (x^1,x^2). This equality can be attained by subtracting a constant from $a(x^1,x^2)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{A_t^{(2)}\ :\ t>0\}$ be the additive functional of the two–point motion, defined by (9), and let the two–point motion $\{(x_t^1,x_t^2)\ :\ t>0\}$ for $x_0^1\neq x_0^2$ be defined by the stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms (1), which in turn satisfies conditions (A) through (E). Then as $t\to\infty$ we have that $\frac{A_t^{(2)}}{\sqrt{t}}$ converges weakly to a normal random variable.*

Fix a positive integer $n>2$. The second CLT for stochastic flows, defined by (1) and satisfying assumptions (A) through (E), is the CLT for additive functionals of the n-point motion. In other words, CLT for the two–point motion (Theorem 1) has a natural generalization to a CLT for the n-point motion. Denote by

$$\Delta^{(n)}(M)=\{(x^1,\dots,x^n)\in M\times\cdots\times M:\ \exists j\neq i\ \text{ such that }\ x^j=x^i\}$$

the generalized diagonal in the product $M\times\cdots\times M$ (n times). Replace (C_2) by the following condition.

(C_n) The generator of the n–point motion $\{(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n)\ :\ t>0\}$ is non-degenerate away from the generalized diagonal $\Delta^{(n)}(M)$, meaning that Lie brackets made out of $(X_1(x^1),\ \dots,\ X_1(x^n)),\ \dots,\ (X_d(x^1),\ \dots,\ X_d(x^n))$ generate $T_{x^1}M\times\cdots\times T_{x^n}M$.

Similarly to the case of the two–point motion, denote by $\{A_t^{(n)}\ :\ t>0\}$ an additive functional of the n-point motion $\{(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n)\ :\ t>0\}$. Suppose $A_t^{(n)}$ is governed by the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation

$$dA_t^{(n)}(x_0^1,\dots x_0^n)=\sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n)\circ d\theta_k(t)+a(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n)dt, \tag{11}$$

where $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^d$ and a are C^∞-smooth functions, which satisfy

$$\int\cdots\int_{M\times\cdots\times M}\Big[a(x^1,\dots,x^n)+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^d\Big(L_{(X_k,\dots,X_k)}\alpha_k\Big)(x^1,\dots,x^n)\Big]\ d\mu(x^1)\dots d\mu(x^n)=0\ , \tag{12}$$

and $\left(L_{(X_k,\dots,X_k)}\alpha_k\right)(x^1,\dots,x^n)$ denotes the derivative of α_k along the vector field $(X_k,\dots,X_k)$ on $M\times\dots\times M$ (n times) at the point $(x^1,\dots,x^n)$. As in the two–point case, this equality can be attained by subtracting a constant from $a(x^1,\dots,x^n)$. For the n-point motion we have the following

Theorem 2. *Let $\{A_t^{(n)}:\ t>0\}$ be the additive functional of the n-point motion, defined by (11), and let the n-point motion $\{(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n):\ t>0\}$ for pairwise distinct $x_0^i\neq x_0^j$ be defined by the stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms (1), which in turn satisfies conditions (A),(B), (C_n), (D), and (E). Then as $t\to\infty$ we have that $\frac{A_t^{(n)}}{\sqrt{t}}$ converges weakly to a normal random variable.*

We shall prove the CLT for the two–point motion (Theorem 1), and then show how to extend this proof to the n-point case.

The third CLT for stochastic flows, defined by (1) and satisfying assumptions (A) through (E), is the CLT for probability measures supported on sets of positive Hausdorff dimension in M.

Consider stochastic flow (1) and an additive functional $\{A_t:\ t>0\}$ of the one–point motion satisfying

$$dA_t(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{d}\alpha_k(x_t)\circ d\theta_k(t)+\ a(x_t)dt \tag{13}$$

with C^∞-smooth coefficients. Define

$$\hat{a}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{d}(L_{X_k}\alpha_k)(x)+\ a(x), \tag{14}$$

where $(L_{X_k}\alpha_k)(x)$ is the derivative of α_k along the vector field X_k at point x. Impose additional assumptions on the coefficients of (13).

(F) (*no drift or preservation of the center of mass*)

$$\int_M \hat{a}(x)d\mu(x)=0\ ,\quad \int_M \alpha_k(x)d\mu(x)=0 \quad \text{for} \quad k=1,\dots,d. \tag{15}$$

This condition can be attained by subtracting appropriate constants from functions $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_d$, and a. For A_t as above, when $t\to\infty$, we have that $\frac{A_t}{\sqrt{t}}$ converges to a normal random variable with zero mean and some variance $D(A)$, given below. Our next result below shows how little randomness in initial condition is needed for the CLT to hold.

Let ν be a probability measure on M, such that for some positive p it has a finite p-energy

$$I_p(\nu)=\iint\frac{d\nu(x)d\nu(y)}{d^p(x,y)}<\infty. \tag{16}$$

In particular, this means that the Hausdorff dimension of the support of ν on M is positive (see [Ma], sect. 8). Let $\mathcal{M}_t^\theta$ be the measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined on Borel sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_t^\theta(\Omega) = \nu \left\{ x \in M : \frac{A_t^\theta(x)}{\sqrt{t}} \in \Omega \right\}. \tag{17}$$

Theorem 3. *Let* $\{x_t : t > 0\}$ *be a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms (1), and let conditions (A) through (F) be satisfied. Then as* $t \to +\infty$ *almost surely* $\mathcal{M}_t^\theta$ *converges weakly to the Gaussian measure with zero mean and some variance* $D(A)$.

2.3. **Application to periodic flows.** Consider the stochastic flow (1) on $\mathbb{R}^N$, with the periodic vector fields X_k. Application of Theorems 1–3 to the corresponding flow on the N-dimensional torus leads to the clear statements on the behavior of the flow on $\mathbb{R}^N$. We formulate those as Theorems $1' - 3'$ below.

The usual CLT describes the distribution of the displacement of a single particle with respect to the measure of the underlying Brownian motion $\theta(t)$. The CLT formulated below (Theorem $3'$), on the contrary, holds for almost every realization of the Brownian motion and is with respect to the randomness in the initial condition.

Theorem $1'$. *Let* $\{X_k\}_{k=0}^d$ *be* C^∞ *periodic vector fields in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with a common period, and let conditions (A) through (E) be satisfied. Let* x_t^1 *and* x_t^2 *be the solutions of (1) with different initial data. Then for some value of the drift* v *the vector* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(x_t^1 - vt, x_t^2 - vt)$ *converges as* $t \to \infty$ *to a Gaussian random vector with zero mean.*

Theorem $2'$. *Let* $\{X_k\}_{k=0}^d$ *be* C^∞ *periodic vector fields in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with a common period, and let the conditions* $(A), (B), (C_n), (D)$, *and* (E) *be satisfied. Let* $x_t^1, \ldots, x_t^n$ *be the solutions of (1) with pairwise different initial data. Then for some value of the drift* v *the vector* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(x_t^1 - vt, ..., x_t^n - vt)$ *converges as* $t \to \infty$ *to a Gaussian random vector with zero mean.*

Theorem $3'$. *Let* $\{X_k\}_{k=0}^d$ *be* C^∞ *periodic vector fields in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with a common period, let* ν *be a probability measure with finite p-energy for some* $p > 0$ *and with compact support, and let conditions (A) through (F) be satisfied. For the condition (F) we take* $\alpha_k = X_k$ *and* $a = X_0$. *Let* x_t *be the solution of (1) with the initial measure* ν. *Then for almost every realization of the Brownian motion the distribution of* $\frac{x_t}{\sqrt{t}}$ *induced by* ν *converges weakly as* $t \to \infty$ *to a Gaussian random variable on* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *with zero mean and some variance* D.

Proof of Theorems $1'$ - $3'$: The functions α_k and a in the formulas (9), (11), and (13) could be considered to be vector valued, thus defining the vector valued additive functionals. Any linear combination of the components of a vector valued additive functional is a scalar additive functional, for which Theorems 1–3 hold. If any linear combination of the components of a vector is a Gaussian random variable then the vector itself is Gaussian. Therefore Theorems 1–3 hold for vector valued additive functionals as well.

It remains to rewrite equation (1) in the integral form and apply Theorems 1, 2, or 3 to the vector valued additive functional of the flow on the torus. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. Let in Theorem 2′

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(x_t^1 - vt, \dots, x_t^n - vt) \to \left(\Theta^1, \dots, \Theta^n\right)$$

where $\Theta^j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are Brownian motions. If x_t moves according to (1) and satisfies condition (F), then Θ^j and Θ^i are independent for $j \neq i$. In fact it will follow from the proof of Theorem 2 that components of Θ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\Theta_l^j \Theta_r^i\right) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \iint \Bigg[& \left(\int_0^t (\hat{X}_0)_l(x_s^j) d(x_s^i)_r\right) d\mu(x_0^j) d\mu(x_0^i) + \\ & \left(\int_0^t (\hat{X}_0)_r(x_s^i) d(x_s^j)_l\right) d\mu(x_0^j) d\mu(x_0^i) + \\ & \sum_{k=1}^d \left((X_k)_l(x^j)(X_k)_r(x^i)\right) ds \Bigg] d\mu(x^j) d\mu(x^i), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\hat{X}$ is the lift to the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^N$ of the vector field X, Θ_l^j is the l-th component of Θ^j, and x_s^j is the s-th component of x^j (cf. Lm. 14). However performing the integration over $\mu \times \mu$ first we get that all terms equal 0.

The proofs of the CLT's occupy Sections 3–7. In the next section we prove CLT for additive functionals of the two–point motion (Theorem 1). By results of Baxendale and Stroock we know that the two–point motion is ergodic [BS]. In section 4 we investigate the rate of mixing (the decay of correlations) of the two–point process, and prove that it is exponential in time. In the next section we show how, using the proof for two–point functionals, one can derive CLT for the n-point functionals (Theorem 2). In section 6 we prove that a smooth curve γ on M becomes uniformly distributed by flow (1) in the limit as time tends to infinity. Section 7 of this paper is devoted to the proof of CLT for measures (Theorem 3). In Section 8 we prove above CLT for the dissipative case.

3. Proof of CLT for two–point functionals

3.1. **Outline of the proof.** We consider the two–point motion $z_t = (x_t^1, x_t^2)$, defined by (1). The generator of the two–point process degenerates on the diagonal $\Delta \subset M \times M$, and this creates a problem when we want to establish the mixing properties of the two–point process, needed for the CLT. However, since we consider stochastic flows (1) with positive Lyapunov exponents, the intervals of time when the points x_s^1 and x_s^2 are nearby should be small. This observation will help us to detour the problem of the diagonal.

The outline of the proof of the CLT is the following. Choose a small $r > 0$, and two initial points $x^1 \neq x^2$. Denote $z = (x^1, x^2)$ and $z_t = (x^1_t, x^2_t)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_r &= \{(x, y) \in M \times M : d(x, y) = r\}, \\ G_r &= \{(x, y) \in M \times M : d(x, y) > r\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We introduce two sequences of stopping times σ_n and τ_n, such that $z_{\sigma_n} \in \Delta_{r/2}$ and $z_{\tau_n} \in G_r$. These stopping times are defined inductively, with σ_n being the first instance after τ_{n-1} when the process z_t visits $\Delta_{r/2}$, and τ_n related to the first instance after σ_n when the process z_t visits Δ_r. We shall see that z_{τ_n} is an exponentially mixing Markov chain, and that the stopping times τ_n satisfy the law of large numbers, $\tau_n/n \to$ const. The contributions to the additive functional A_t from the intervals (τ_{n-1}, τ_n) will be seen to satisfy the CLT.

Up to some technical details this is the main idea of the proof. In the proof we shall use results of Baxendale–Stroock [BS] on the properties of the stopping times of the two–point motion of stochastic flows (1) with nonzero Lyapunov exponents, and standard facts about hypoelliptic operators.

3.2. **Preparatory Lemmas and the proof.** In this section we prove several Lemmas leading to the CLT for the two–point motion. First, we study the two–point motion close to the diagonal $\Delta \subset M \times M$. We shall use the following result of Baxendale–Stroock [BS] showing, in particular, that a positive Lyapunov exponent for (1) implies that the transition time from $r/2$ neighborhood of the diagonal to r neighborhood of the diagonal has exponential moments.

Lemma 1. *(*[BS] *see Theorem 3.19) There are positive constants α_0 and r_0, which depend on the vector fields $X_0, \dots, X_d$, such that for any $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ and $0 < r < r_0$, there are $p(\alpha)$, $K(\alpha)$ with the property $p(\alpha) \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$, such that for any pair of distinct points x^1 and x^2 which are at most r apart we have*

$$K^{-1}\ d^{-p}(x^1, x^2) \le E_z(e^{\alpha\tau}) \le K\ d^{-p}(x^1, x^2), \tag{20}$$

where τ is the stopping time of x^1_s and x^2_s getting distance r apart, i.e. $\tau = \inf\{s > 0 :\ d(x^1_s, x^2_s) = r\}$ and $z = (x^1_0, x^2_0)$.

Fix some $r > 0$, which satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1. Let δ be small enough, so that

$$\mathbb{P}_{z_0}\{z_t \in \Delta_{r/2} \text{ for some } 0 \le t \le 2\delta\} \le \frac{1}{2K}\ , \tag{21}$$

for all $z_0 \in \Delta_r$, where the constant K is the same as in (20). Let $\mathbb{Z}^+_\delta = \{\delta, 2\delta, ...\}$. Next we introduce the stopping times $\sigma_n, \tau_n,\ n \ge 1$. Let $\sigma_1 = \inf\{t : z_t \in \Delta_{r/2}\}$. Assuming that σ_n has been defined, we define τ_n as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n = \inf\{t > \sigma_n : t \in \mathbb{Z}^+_\delta, &\text{there exists } s_1 \in [\sigma_n, t-\delta],\ \text{such that} \\ &z_{s_1} \in \Delta_r,\ z_s \in G_{r/2} \text{ for all } s \in [s_1, t]\} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

This definition means that if a trajectory starts at a point in $\Delta_{r/2}$, then we wait till it hits the set Δ_r, and stop in the second of the discrete moments of time, following the visit to Δ_r, provided the part of the trajectory after the time it hits Δ_r is entirely contained in $G_{r/2}$. Finally, if τ_n is defined, we define $\sigma_{n+1} = \inf\{t > \tau_n : z_t \in \Delta_{r/2}\}$.

We have constructed the Markov chain z_{τ_n}, with the state space $G_{r/2}$. With the discretization of time we were able to stop the process z_t in the open set, and will now show that, due to hypoellipticity, the Markov chain z_{τ_n} is exponentially mixing.

Lemma 2. *The Markov chain z_{τ_n} is exponentially mixing.*

Proof: Let U be some open set, which, together with its closure, is contained in $G_{r/2}$. Let $P(x,y)$ be the density of the absolutely continuous component (with respect to the measure $\mu^{(2)}$, invariant for the two–point process) of the transition function for the chain z_{τ_n}[1]. It is sufficient to show (see [Do]), that $P(x,y) \geq c$ for all $x \in G_{r/2}$, $y \in U$, and some $c > 0$. Consider the process in $\overline{G}_{r/2}$, which coincides with z_t in $G_{r/2}$, and is stopped, when it reaches the boundary. Let $p_t(x,y)$, $x, y \in \overline{G}_{r/2}$ be the density of the stochastic transition function for this process.

Let K be a compact in $G_{r/2}$, which contains both U and Δ_r. Due to hypoellipticity for each point $x \in G_{r/2}$ there is a neighborhood $V(x)$, and some $T(x) > 0$, such that $p_t(y_1, y_2) > c(x,t) > 0$ when $y_1, y_2 \in V(x)$ and $t \in (0, T(x)]$ (see [Le]). The lower bound $c(x,t)$ is strictly positive on time intervals separated from zero $c(x,t) > c(x) > 0$ for $t \in [\varepsilon, T(x)]$ for any positive ε. By covering the compact K with a finite number of such neighborhoods we see that the density is estimated from below

$$p_t(x,y) \geq c > 0 \ , \ \text{for all } x \in \Delta_r,\ y \in U,\ t \in [\delta, 2\delta] \ .$$

From the definition of the stopping time τ_1 if follows that

$$P(x,y) \geq \inf_{x \in \Delta_r,\ t \in [\delta, 2\delta]} p_t(x,y) > c. \tag{23}$$

This implies the Lemma. Q.E.D.

The next Lemma shows that the distributions of the transitions times have uniformly exponentially decreasing tails.

Lemma 3. *There exist positive D and γ, such that for all $z \in G_{r/2}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_z\{\tau_1 > t\} \leq D\ e^{-\gamma t}. \tag{24}$$

---

[1] It is shown in [K] that under the condition (A) the invariant measure for the two–point process is the product measure, i.e. $\mu^{(2)} = \mu \times \mu$

Proof: Let us introduce two more sequences of stopping times, a_n and b_n, $n \geq 1$. These are the times of the successive visits by the process of the sets $\Delta_{r/2}$ and Δ_r respectively:

$$a_1 = \inf\{t : z_t \in \Delta_{r/2}\},\ b_n = \inf\{t > a_n : z_t \in \Delta_r\},$$

$$a_{n+1} = \inf\{t > b_n : z_t \in \Delta_{r/2}\}\ .$$

Let E_n be the event that the process visits $\Delta_{r/2}$, and then makes n excursions before time τ_1 from Δ_r to $\Delta_{r/2}$ and back: $E_n = \{b_{n+1} < \tau_1 < b_{n+2}\}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha\tau_1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_z(e^{\alpha\tau_1}\chi_{E_n})\ .$$

Notice that $\tau_1 \leq b_{n+1} + 2\delta$ on the set E_n, and the set E_n is contained in the set $\{a_{i+1} - b_i \leq 2\delta$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha\tau_1} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_z(e^{\alpha(b_{n+1}+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n\}})\ .$$

By the Markov property, for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{\alpha(b_{n+1}+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n\}}\right) =$$

$$\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{\alpha(b_n+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n-1\}}\ \right)\mathbb{E}_{z_{b_n}}(e^{\alpha b_1}\chi_{\{a_1\leq 2\delta\}})\ .$$

For the next estimate we use the result of Lemma 1, together with (21),

$$\sup_{z\in\Delta_r}\mathbb{E}_z(e^{\alpha b_1}\chi_{\{a_1\leq 2\delta\}}) \leq e^{2\delta\alpha}\frac{1}{2K}\sup_{z\in\Delta_{r/2}}\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha\tau} \leq 1/2e^{2\delta\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)^{p(\alpha)}\ .$$

This can be made smaller than 3/4 by selecting α sufficiently small. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{\alpha(b_{n+1}+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n\}}\right) \leq \\ &\frac{3}{4}\ \mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{\alpha(b_n+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i\leq n-1\}}\ \right).\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Continuing by induction we see that

$$\mathbb{E}_z\left(e^{\alpha(b_{n+1}+2\delta)}\chi_{\{a_{i+1}-b_i\leq 2\delta \text{ for all } 1\leq i<n\}}\right) \leq \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha(b_1+2\delta)}\ .$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{z\in G_{r/2}}\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha\tau_1} \leq \sup_{z\in G_{r/2}}\mathbb{E}_z e^{\alpha(b_1+2\delta)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(3/4)^n = D\ .$$

This implies the statement of the Lemma. Q.E.D.

Consider now the functional $A_t^{(2)}(x^1, x^2)$ of the two point motion, defined by the stochastic equation (9). Using Lemma 3 we now show the existence of exponential moments for $A_{\tau_1}^{(2)}(z)$

Lemma 4. *There exist positive* D_1 *and* γ_1*, such that for all* $z \in G_{r/2}$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_z\{|A_{\tau_1}^{(2)}| > t\} \le D_1 \; e^{-\gamma_1 t}. \tag{26}$$

Proof: Rewriting the Stratonovich equation (9) in terms of Ito equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\tau_1}^{(2)}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_0^{\tau_1} \alpha_k(z_s) d\theta_k(s) + \\ &\int_0^{\tau_1} \left[a(z_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (L_{X_k, X_k} \alpha_k)(z_s) \right] ds, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $(L_{X_k,X_k}\alpha_k)(z_s)$ is the derivative of α_k along the vector field (X_k, X_k) on $M \times M$ at the point z_s. Let

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(t) &= \int_0^{t} \left[a(z_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (L_{X_k, X_k} \alpha_k)(z_s) \right] ds \ , \text{ and} \\ B_k(t) &= \int_0^{t} \alpha_k(z_s) d\theta_k(s), \ k = 1, \dots, d \ . \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In order to prove the Lemma it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathbb{E}_z e^{\delta |B_k(\tau_1)|} < \infty \ , \ k = 0, ..., d$$

for a sufficiently small δ. By Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_z e^{\delta |B_k(\tau_1)|} &\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_z\{n < \tau_1 \le n+1\} \; \mathbb{E}_z(e^{\delta |B_k(\tau_1)|} \chi_{\{\tau_1 \le n+1\}}) \le \\ &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D e^{-\gamma n} \; \mathbb{E}_z e^{\delta \sup_{[0,n+1]} |B_k(t)|} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Notice that for $k = 1, \dots, d$ the integral $B_k(t)$ is obtained from a Brownian motion by a random time change. This time change has a bounded derivative, since the functions α_k are bounded. If $w(t)$ is a Brownian motion, then for any α there is $q(\alpha)$ with the property $q(\alpha) \to 0$ when $\alpha \to 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha \sup_{[0,c(n+1)]} |w(t)|}) < C e^{q(\alpha) n}. \tag{30}$$

For $k = 0$ we have $|B_0(t)| < Ct$ since the integrand in (28) is bounded. Thus the right hand side of (29) is finite for a sufficiently small δ for all k. This completes the proof of the Lemma. Q.E.D.

Let μ_0 be the measure on $G_{r/2}$ which is invariant for the Markov chain z_{τ_n}. Consider two Markov chains with the state space $G_{r/2} \times \mathbb{R}$, defined by their stochastic transition functions

$$\begin{aligned} P_z(dy \times dt) =& \mathbb{P}_z\{z_{\tau_1} \in dy; \tau_1 \in dt\} \ , \\ Q_z(dy \times dt) =& \mathbb{P}_z\{z_{\tau_1} \in dy; A^{(2)}_{\tau_1} \in dt\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Note that the transition functions are defined to depend only on the first component of the original point. The measures

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\tau(dy \times dt) = & \int P_z\{dy \times dt\}\ d\mu_0(z) \ , \text{ and} \\ \mu^A(dy \times dt) = & \int Q_z(dy \times dt)\ d\mu_0(z) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

are invariant for their respective Markov chains. These invariant measures are unique, since μ_0 is the unique invariant measure for the process z_{τ_n}. As easily follows from (23) both of these Markov chains satisfy the Doeblin condition.

Notice that transition probabilities for the Markov chains $(z_{\tau_n}, \tau_n(z) - \tau_{n-1}(z))$ and $(z_{\tau_n}, A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}(z) - A^{(2)}_{\tau_{n-1}}(z))$ are given by (31). For each of the Markov chains we apply the Law of Large Numbers to the function $f^{\tau (\text{resp. } A)} : G_{r/2} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(z,t) = t$. Notice that $f^\tau \in L_1(d\mu^\tau)$ and $f^A \in L_1(d\mu^A)$ by Lemmas 3 and 4. Since both Markov chains are ergodic, in the first case we obtain for each $z \in G_{r/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tau_n(z)}{n} = & \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\tau_1 + (\tau_2(z) - \tau_1(z)) + \ldots + (\tau_n(z) - \tau_{n-1}(z))}{n} \\ & = \int_{G_{r/2}} \mathbb{E}_z \tau_1(z) d\mu_0(z) \text{ almost surely } , \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

while for the second Markov chain we get for $z \in G_{r/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}(z)}{n} = & \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_1} + (A^{(2)}_{\tau_2} - A^{(2)}_{\tau_1}) + \cdots + (A^{(2)}_{\tau_n} - A^{(2)}_{\tau_{n-1}})}{n} = \\ & \int_{G_{r/2}} \mathbb{E}_z A^{(2)}_{\tau_1}(z) d\mu_0(z) \ , \text{ almost surely} \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Lemma 5. *For any $z \in G_{r/2}$ the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}(z)}{n}$ is equal to zero almost surely.*

Proof: Since the Markov chain is ergodic, we only need to show that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}_z A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}}{n}$ is zero for any $z \in G_{r/2}$. As in (27), we have

$$A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{d}\int_0^{\tau_n}\alpha_k(z_s)d\theta_k(s) + \int_0^{\tau_n}\left[a(z_s) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d}(L_{X_k,X_k}\alpha_k)(z_s)\right]ds, \tag{35}$$

Taking expectations of both sides we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_z A_{\tau_n}(z) = \mathbb{E}_z\int_0^{\tau_n}\varphi(z_s)ds\ ,$$

where $\varphi(z) = a(z) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d}(L_{X_k,X_k}\alpha_k)(z)$ is a function, whose integral with respect to the measure $\mu^{(2)}$, invariant for the two–point process, is equal to zero by (10). Since the two–point motion is ergodic we have that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}_z\int_0^t\varphi(z_s)ds}{t} = \iint\varphi(z)d\mu^{(2)}(z) = 0\ .$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}_z\int_0^{\tau_n}\varphi(z_s)ds}{n} &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\tau_n}{n}\frac{\mathbb{E}_z\int_0^{\tau_n}\varphi(z_s)ds}{\tau_n}\\ &= \int_{G_{r/2}}\mathbb{E}_z\tau_1(z)d\mu_0(z)\ \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}_z\int_0^t\varphi(z_s)ds}{t} = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. Q.E.D.

Remark 2. We have shown that

$$\int_{G_{r/2}}\mathbb{E}_z A_{\tau_1}(z)d\mu_0(z) = 0\ . \tag{37}$$

In section 4 we shall use the identity

$$\int_{G_{r/2}}\int_0^{\tau_1}\mathbb{E}_z e^{i2\pi k\delta^{-1}s}\varphi(z_s)ds d\mu_0(z) = 0$$

for integer k and a function φ, such that $\int\varphi(z)d\mu^{(2)}(z) = 0$. It can be proved similarly to the way formula (37) was proved if we recall that $\tau_n \in \{\delta, 2\delta, \dots\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let

$$N(t) = \left[\frac{t}{\int\mathbb{E}_z\tau_1(z)d\mu_0(z)}\right]\ .$$

Then,

$$\frac{A_t^{(2)}}{\sqrt{t}} = \frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_{N(t)}}}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{A_t^{(2)} - A^{(2)}_{\tau_{N(t)}}}{\sqrt{t}} \ . \tag{38}$$

Without loss of generality we may consider the initial point z distributed in $G_{r/2}$ according to some law. Lemma 5 implies that the function $f^A(z,t) = t$ on $G_{r/2} \times \mathbb{R}$ has zero mean with respect to measure μ^A. We can, therefore, use the CLT for Markov chains to obtain

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_{[sN(t)]}}}{\sqrt{t}} = \Theta(s) \ , \tag{39}$$

where $\Theta(s)$ is a Wiener process with some diffusion coefficient σ. In particular,

$$\frac{A^{(2)}_{\tau_{N(t)}}}{\sqrt{t}} \to N(0,\sigma) \ , \tag{40}$$

and for any $c > 0$ we have

$$\sup_{r\in[N(t)-c\sqrt{t}, N(t)+c\sqrt{t}] \bigcap \mathbb{N}} \frac{|A^{(2)}_{\tau_r} - A^{(2)}_{\tau_{N(t)}}|}{\sqrt{t}} \ \to\ 0 \text{ in probability.} \tag{41}$$

The arguments identical to the ones employed in the proof of Lemma 4 show that for some positive D and γ and for any n

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{0\le l\le \tau_{n+1}-\tau_n} |A^{(2)}_{\tau_n+l} - A^{(2)}_{\tau_n}| > t \right\} \le De^{-\gamma t} \ . \tag{42}$$

Combined with (41) this shows that for any $c > 0$

$$\sup_{s\in[\tau_{[N(t)-c\sqrt{t}]}, \tau_{[N(t)+c\sqrt{t}]}]} \frac{|A^{(2)}_s - A^{(2)}_{\tau_{N(t)}}|}{\sqrt{t}} \ \to\ 0 \text{ in probability.} \tag{43}$$

By the CLT the quantity $\frac{t-\tau_{N(t)}}{\sqrt{t}}$ converges to a normal random variable. Therefore, by (43) the second term in the right side of (38) tends to zero in probability. The first term tends to a normal random variable by (40). Q.E.D.

We shall extend Theorem 1 for a two–point motion to an n-point motion after the next section.

4. Exponential Mixing of the two–point process.

In this section we prove exponential decay of correlations for the two–point process, defined by stochastic flow (1), starting outside of the diagonal $\Delta \subset M \times M$. Recall that by [K] the two–point process has the unique invariant measure $\mu^{(2)}$ on $M \times M \setminus \Delta$. $\mu^{(2)}$ is a product measure, $\mu^{(2)} = \mu \times \mu$.

Theorem 4. *Let* $B \in C^\infty(M \times M)$ *be a function with zero mean. For any point* $z \in M \times M \setminus \Delta$ *let* $\rho_{z,B}(t) = E_z(B(z_t))$*, where* z_t *is the two–point motion with* $z_0 = z = (x, y)$*. Then for sufficiently small positive* θ *there are positive* $C(\theta)$ *and* $p(\theta)$*, with the property that* $p(\theta) \to 0$ *when* $\theta \to 0$*, such that*

$$|\rho_{z,B}(t)| \le Ce^{-\theta t}\left(\frac{1}{d(x,y)}\right)^p . \tag{44}$$

It turns out that it is sufficient to prove a similar statement for $z \in \overline{G}_r$, that is for the initial point at least distance r away from the diagonal.

Proposition 5. *With notations of Theorem 4, for any small some positive* r *there exist positive* θ *and* C*, such that if* $u \in \overline{G}_r$*, then*

$$|\rho_{u,B}(t)| \le Ce^{-\theta t}. \tag{45}$$

Now, using Proposition 5, we prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4: Let $\tau(z)$ be the stopping time of hitting $\overline{G}_r$, that is $\tau(z) = \inf\{t : z_t \in \overline{G}_r\}$. Then for the indicator function $\chi_{\{\tau<\frac{t}{2}\}}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_z B(z_t) = \mathbb{E}_z(B(z_t)\chi_{\{\tau<\frac{t}{2}\}}) + \mathbb{E}_z(B(z_t)\chi_{\{\tau\ge\frac{t}{2}\}}). \tag{46}$$

To bound the first term, we use Markov property together with Proposition 5,

$$|\mathbb{E}_z(B(z_t)\chi_{\{\tau<\frac{t}{2}\}})| \le \mathbb{P}_z\left\{\tau < \frac{t}{2}\right\} \sup_{s\in[\frac{t}{2},t], u\in\overline{G}_r} |\rho_{u,B}(s)| \le C\ \|B\|\ e^{-\frac{\theta t}{2}} .$$

For the second term we can apply Lemma 1 and get that

$$|\mathbb{E}_z(B(z_t)\chi_{\{\tau\ge\frac{t}{2}\}})| \le \|B\|\ \mathbb{P}_z\left\{\tau(z) \ge \frac{t}{2}\right\} \le C\ \|B\|\ \left(\frac{1}{d(x,y)}\right)^p e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{2}} ,$$

where p can be taken arbitrarily small by selecting a sufficiently small α. This completes the proof of Theorem 4. Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 5: Let $\hat{\rho}_{u,B}(\xi)$ be Fourier transform of $\rho_{u,B}$.

$$\hat{\rho}_{u,B}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\xi t}\ \mathbb{E}_u B(u_t)\ dt = \ \mathbb{E}_u\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-i\xi t} B(u_t) dt\right). \tag{47}$$

The main idea of the proof is to show that for each point $u \in \overline{G}_r$ the corresponding Fourier transform function $\hat{\rho}_{u,B}(\xi)$ is analytic in ξ in some strip $|\mathrm{Im}\xi| < \alpha$, and $\int |\hat{\rho}_{u,B}(\sigma + is)| d\sigma$ is uniformly bounded for $|s| < \alpha$, $u \in \overline{G}_r$. Then by Paley-Wiener Theorem we have $\rho_{u,B}(t) \le ce^{-\theta t}$ for any $\theta < \alpha$ and some positive c. This clearly implies the Proposition.

Rewrite now the right-hand side of (47)

$$\mathbb{E}_u\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-i\xi t} B(u_t) dt\right) = \mathbb{E}_u\left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-i\xi\tau_j} \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} e^{-i\xi(t-\tau_j)} B(u_t) dt\right), \tag{48}$$

where $\tau_0 = 0$, and $\tau_j,\ j \geq 1$ were defined in Section 3.2. Let

$$\beta(u,\xi) = \mathbb{E}_u \left(\int_0^{\tau_1} e^{-i\xi t} B(u_t) dt \right) . \tag{49}$$

Introduce on the space $C(\overline{G}_{r/2})$ of continuous functions on $\overline{G}_{r/2}$ the transfer operator $\mathcal{R}_\xi : C(\overline{G}_{r/2}) \to C(\overline{G}_{r/2})$

$$(\mathcal{R}_\xi f)(u) = \mathbb{E}_u e^{-i\xi\tau_1} f(u_{\tau_1}) \ . \tag{50}$$

By the Markov property

$$\mathbb{E}_u \left(e^{-i\xi\tau_j} \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} e^{-i\xi(t-\tau_j)} B(u_t) dt \right) = [\mathcal{R}_\xi^j \beta(\cdot,\xi)](u) \ .$$

Now using this formula and (48) we get

$$\hat{\rho}_{u,B}(u,\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\mathcal{R}_\xi^j \beta(\cdot,\xi)\right](u) = \left[(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)\right](u) \ , \tag{51}$$

provided that $\|\mathcal{R}_\xi\| < 1$. Note the following properties of $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ and $\beta(\cdot,\xi)$

1) By Lemma 3, $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ and $\beta(\cdot,\xi)$ are analytic in the half plane $\mathrm{Im}\xi < \gamma$. The functions $\beta(\cdot,\xi)$ and $\beta'_\xi(\cdot,\xi)$ are uniformly bounded in the same half plane.

2) Since $\tau_1 \in \{\delta, 2\delta, \dots\}$, the operator $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ is periodic with the period $2\pi\delta^{-1}$. As follows from the definition of $\mathcal{R}_\xi$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\xi\| < 1, \text{ when } \mathrm{Im}\xi \leq 0,\ \xi \neq 2\pi k\delta^{-1} \ .$$

3) The operator R_0 is a generator of a mixing Markov chain. Thus, in a neighborhood of $\xi = 2\pi k\delta^{-1}$, the operator $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_\xi f = \mathcal{S}_\xi f + \mathcal{T}_\xi f \ .$$

The operator $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ is one dimensional, $\mathcal{S}_\xi f = \lambda_\xi \mu_\xi(f) f_\xi$, where λ_ξ is the largest (in absolute value) eigenvalue of $\mathcal{R}_\xi$, while f_ξ and μ_ξ are the corresponding eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ and of the adjoint operator, such that $\mu_\xi(f_\xi) = 1$. The operators $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{T}_\xi$ commute, and $\|\mathcal{T}_\xi\| < 1$. The operators cS_ξ and cT_ξ, and the vectors f_ξ and μ_ξ are analytic.

In a neighborhood of $\xi = 2\pi k\delta^{-1}$ the operator $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}$ can be written as

$$(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1} f = (1-\mathcal{T}_\xi)^{-1} f + \frac{\mathcal{S}_\xi f}{1-\lambda_\xi} \tag{52}$$

Thus, the operator $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}$ admits a meromorphic continuation to a half plane $\mathrm{Im}\xi < \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, with the poles at the points $\xi = 2\pi k\delta^{-1}$. Note that $\lambda'_\xi \neq 0$ at the poles, and therefore the poles are simple. From (52) and

Remark (37) it follows that the residues of $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)$ at $\xi = 2\pi k\delta^{-1}$ are equal to

$$\frac{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi k\delta^{-1}}\beta(\cdot, 2\pi k\delta^{-1})}{\lambda'_\xi} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mu_0}\left(\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} e^{-i2\pi k\delta^{-1}t}B(u_t)dt\right)\cdot 1}{\lambda'_\xi} = 0\ .$$

Therefore $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)$ is holomorphic in the half plane $\mathrm{Im}\xi < \alpha$.

Next we show that $|(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)|$ is uniformly bounded in the same half plane. Let U be a neighborhood of $\xi = 0$, where (52) is valid. Since $\mathcal{R}_\xi$ is periodic, (52) is valid in the same neighborhood of each of the other poles of $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}$. The function $|(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)|$ is bounded outside of the union of such neighborhoods, since $(1-\mathcal{R}_\xi)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator there. In the neighborhood U_k of the point $2\pi k\delta^{-1}$, the function $|(1-\mathcal{T}_\xi)^{-1}\beta(\cdot,\xi)|$ is bounded, since $(1-\mathcal{T}_\xi)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator there. Finally, $|\frac{\mathcal{S}_\xi\beta(\cdot,\xi)}{1-\lambda_\xi}|$ is estimated by $\mathrm{Const}|(\mathcal{S}_\xi\beta(\cdot,\xi))'_\xi|$, which is bounded, since $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ is periodic, while $\beta(\cdot,\xi)$ and $\beta'_\xi(\cdot,\xi)$ are bounded.

Lemma 6. *For any positive integer N we have $\hat\rho_{u,B}(\xi)\xi^N \to 0$ uniformly in $u \in G_{r/2}$, as $\xi \to \infty$, $\mathrm{Im}\xi < \alpha$.*

Proof: We have

$$|\hat\rho_{u,B}(\xi)\xi| = |(\partial_t\rho_{u,B}(t))\hat{}(\xi)| = |\hat\rho_{u,D(B)}(\xi)|$$

where D is the generator of the two point motion. Thus, for any function B with zero mean, $|\hat\rho_{u,B}(\xi)| \le \frac{K_1}{|\xi|}$. In particular $|\hat\rho_{u,D(B)}(\xi)| < \frac{K_1}{|\xi|}$. Hence $|\hat\rho_{u,B}(\xi)| \le \frac{K_2}{|\xi|^2}$. Continuing by induction we obtain the Lemma. Q.E.D.

Thus, $\hat\rho_{u,B}(\xi)$ is analytic in $|\mathrm{Im}(\xi)| < \alpha$ and

$$\int |\hat\rho_{u,B}(\sigma + is)|ds$$

is uniformly bounded for $|s| < \alpha$ by Lemma 6. Thus Proposition 5 is implied by Paley-Wiener Theorem. Q.E.D.

5. An n-point motion

Below we show the modifications needed to prove Theorem 2. We shall follow word-by-word the arguments given in case $n = 2$ and exhibit differences. For the proof of Theorem 1 we use $\mathbb{E}_z(e^{\alpha(\tau_1-\sigma_1)}) < K$ or the fact that time the two-point motion spends inside r-neighborhood of the diagonal has exponential moments.

First we define an analog of return times of returning to r-neighborhood of the diagonal Δ_r hitting $r/2$-neighborhood $\Delta_{r/2}$ in between similarly to (22). For the multipoint motion some care has to be taken.

The r-cylinder of the generalized diagonal and its outside are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_r^{(n)} &= \{(x^1,\dots,x^n)\in M\times\cdots\times M : \exists i<j\ d(x^i,x^j)=r\}, \\ G_r^{(n)} &= \{(x,\dots,x^n)\in M\times\cdots\times M : \quad \min_{i<j} d(x^i,x^j)>r\}. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Denote $z_t^{(n)}=(x_t^1,\dots,x_t^n)$ the position of an n-point motion at time t. Similarly to the two–point motion we decompose the time interval $[0,t]$ into random time intervals as follows. The initial interval is $[0,\sigma_1^{(n)}]$, where $\sigma_1^{(n)}=\sigma_r^{(n)}(z^{(n)})$ is the first moment $z_s^{(n)}\in\Delta_{r/2}^{(n)}$ the point $z_s^{(n)}$ hits $\Delta_{r/2}^{(n)}$. Then we define two types of intervals of time. For each $i<j$ formula (22) aplied to the two-point motion (x_t^i,x_t^j) defines the stopping times $\{\sigma_s^{ij},\tau_s^{ij}\}_s$ of consequently hitting Δ_r and staying time δ inside $G_{r/2}$. Consider the union of these intervals over all $i<j$

$$\cup_{i<j}\cup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}[\sigma_m^{ij},\tau_{m+1}^{ij}]. \tag{54}$$

Renumerate this union as the one consisting of a countable number of disjoint connected intervals. Denote renumerated intervals by $\{[\sigma_m^{(n)},\tau_m^{(n)}]\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. we call each such an interval $[\sigma_m^{(n)},\tau_m^{(n)}]$ an interval of the second type. During $s\in[\sigma_m^{(n)},\tau_m^{(n)}]$ the n-tuple $z_s^{(n)}$ stays close to the generalized diagonal and the complement intervals $\{[\tau_m^{(n)},\sigma_{m+1}^{(n)}]\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ are of the first type and correspond $z_s^{(n)}$ being in $G_{r/2}^{(n)}$ or $r/2$-away from the generalized diagonal. By compactness of $G_{r/2}^{(n)}$ and nondegeneracy assumption (C_n) during intervals of the first type the n-point motion is nondegenerate.

Using these stopping times we subdivide $[0,t]$ into $[0,\sigma_1^{(n)}]$, $[\sigma_1^{(n)},\tau_1^{(n)}]$, and so on $[\tau_{m(t)}^{(n)},t]$, where this is the interval after the last $\tau_{m(t)}^{(n)}$-return to $G_{r/2}^{(n)}$.

An additional difficulty arising for the n-point motion is to prove an analog of Lemma 1 for the return stopping time $\tau_1^{(n)}$ defined above.

Lemma 7. *For flow (1) with the above notations for the n-point motion there exist positive D_1 and γ_1 such that for each $u\in G_{r/2}^{(n)}$ and each $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_1^{(n)}>t|\ z_0^{(n)}=u\}\le D_1\ e^{-\gamma_1 t}. \tag{55}$$

Suppose this Lemma is proven. Then implies that $E_{z^{(n)}}(\exp\{\alpha\tau_1^{(n)}\})$ is finite for some $\alpha>0$. Then the rest of the proof is the same proof as for the 2-point motion.

Proof of Lemma 7: The proof is a little more complicated than the one for Lemma 3. The problem is that $\tau_1^{(n)}$ the return time of the n-point motion consists of two parts: first $[0,\sigma_1^{(n)}]$ when $z_{\sigma_1^{(n)}}^{(n)}$ hits $\Delta_{r/2}^{(n)}$ for the first time and $[\sigma_1^{(n)},\tau_1^{(n)}]$ when $z_t^{(n)}$ is inside $\Delta_r^{(n)}$, i.e. there is a pair of points x_t^i and x_t^j which are inside Δ_r^{ij}. Exponential decay of the probability for $\sigma_1^{(n)}>t$ follows from compactness of $G^{(n)}_{r/2}$. The problem which arises is that intervals of time of return from $\Delta^{ij}_{r/2}$ to Δ^{ij}_r for different pairs i and j can be overlapping and, therefore, Lemma 7 is not straightforward corollary from Lemma 1.

The idea of the proof is to fix a pair of points x^i and x^j and show that for a large time t and a sufficiently small $r > 0$ the probability that proportion of time (x^i_s, x^j_s) spend in the intervals of time of the first type $[\sigma^{(n)}_k, \tau^{(n)}_k]$ compare to the whole time t exceeds $1/n^2$ decays exponentially in t, i.e. for some $K > 0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$

$$(56)\qquad \mathbb{P}_{(x^i,x^j)}\left\{\left|\sum_{\{r:\ \tau^{ij}_r(x^i,x^j)\le t\}} (\tau_r(x^i,x^j) - \sigma^{ij}_r(x^i,x^j)\right| \le \frac{t}{n^2}\right\} \le K\theta^t.$$

Therefore, union over all pairs of point gives that for any $z^{(n)} = (x^1, \ldots, x^n) \in M \times \cdots \times M \setminus \Delta^{(n)}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{z^{(n)}}\left\{\tau^{(n)}_1(z^{(n)}) - \sigma^{(n)}_1(z^{(n)}) > t\right\} \le$$
$$\sum_{i<j} \mathbb{P}_{(x^i,x^j)}\left\{\tau^{(2)}_1(x^i,x^j) - \sigma^{(2)}_1(x^i,x^j) > \frac{t}{n^2}\right\}.$$

Therefore, if probabilities of every term on the right-hand side is exponentially small in t, then probability in the left-hand side is exponentially small. This would complete the proof of Lemma 7. Now we proof exponential decay of the right-hand side probabilities of the two–point motion.

It is shown in [BS] the two–point motion, defined by flow (1), is ergodic and has a unique invariant measure $\mu^{(2)}$, such that $\mu^{(2)}(\Delta) = 0$. Let $u \in G_{r/2}$. Denote by $E_u(\tau_1(u))$ expected time of a point $u \in G_{r/2}$ hitting $\Delta_{r/2}$, then Δ_r, and then staying outside $\Delta_{r/2}$ for a time at least δ for the two-point motion. Then by ergodic theorem for stopping times $\tau_1(u)$ and $\sigma_1(u)$, defined above, we have

$$(57)\qquad \frac{\int E_u(\tau_1(u) - \sigma_1(u))dp(u)}{\int E_u(\tau_1(u))dp(u)} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 0,$$

where $dp(u)$ is an invariant measure on $G_{r/2}$ induced by flow (1) Let

$$(58)\ \gamma(\xi_1,\xi_2,u,w) = \mathbb{E}_u(e^{\xi_1(\sigma_2(u)-\tau_1(u))+\xi_2(\tau_1(u)-\sigma_1(u))}|z^{(0)} = u, z^{(1)} = w),$$

with both $u, w \in G_{r/2}$. Define a tranfer operator

$$(59)\qquad (\mathcal{M}_\xi f)(u) = \int k(u,w)\gamma(\xi_1,\xi_2,u,w)f(w)dw,$$

where $k(u,w)$ is the transition density of returns to Δ_r. Since $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ depends analytically on $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, therefore, for small ξ we have a decomposition

$$(60)\qquad \mathcal{M}_\xi f = \lambda_\xi \mu_\xi(f) f_\xi + \Pi_\xi(f - \mu_\xi(f) f_\xi),$$

where operators Π_ξ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ commute, $\mathcal{L}_\xi f_\xi = \lambda_\xi f_\xi$ and Π_ξ is a contraction operator, i.e. $\|\Pi_\xi\| < \theta$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Direct calculation shows

$$E_u\left(\exp\left[\xi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n^2[\tau_j(u)-\sigma_j(u)] + (\sigma_{j+1}(u)-\tau_j(u))\right)\right]\right) = (\mathcal{M}_\xi^m 1)(u)$$

Since $\lambda_0 = 1$ and using (57) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ one can choose positive r, K, and ξ and some $0 < \theta < 1$ such that for any positive integer m

$$\mathbb{E}_u\left(\exp\left[\xi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} n^2[\tau_j(u)-\sigma_j(u)] + (\sigma_{j+1}(u)-\tau_j(u))\right)\right]\right) \le K\theta^m.$$

By Chebyshev inequality this implies that

$$\mathbb{P}_u\left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^m[\tau_j(u)-\sigma_j(u)]}{\tau_m} \ge \frac{1}{n^2}\right\} \le K\theta^m.$$

Similar argument shows that for any T exceeding average return time τ^* and any positive integer m may be with a different K we get

$$\mathbb{P}_z(\tau_m > Tm) \le K\theta^m.$$

Now we are ready to prove (56). Let $m = [\frac{t}{K}]$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_{(x^i,x^j)}\left\{\left|\sum_{\{r:\ \tau_r^{ij}(x^i,x^j)\le t\}} (\tau_r^{ij}(x^i,x^j) - \sigma_r^{ij}(x^i,x^j)\right| \le \frac{t}{n^2}\right\} \le \\ &\mathbb{P}_{(x^i,x^j)}\{\tau_m > t\} + \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{(x^i,x^j)}\left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l}(\tau_j-\sigma_j)}{\tau_l} \ge \frac{1}{n^2}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

All the terms in the right-hand side decay exponentially with exponent θ. This completes the proof of Lemma 7. Q.E.D.

As we pointed out above the rest of the proof of Theorem 2 is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 1 as we pointed out above. Theorem 2 is proven. Q.E.D.

6. EQUIDISTRIBUTION.

In this section we prove that images of smooth submanifolds become uniformly distributed over M as $t \to \infty$. More generally, we prove that if a measure ν has finite p-energy, defined in (16), for some $p > 0$, then the image of this measure under the dynamics of stochastic flow (1), satisfying conditions (A) through (E), becomes uniformly distributed on M.

Lemma 8. *Let ν be a measure on M which has finite p-energy for some $p > 0$. Let $b \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\int b(x)d\mu(x) = 0$. Then there exist positive γ independent of ν and b, and C independent of ν such that for any positive t_0*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq t_0}\left|\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)\right| > CI_p(\nu)^{1/2}e^{-\gamma t_0}\right\} \leq Ce^{-\gamma t_0} \ . \tag{62}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that $\nu(M) = 1$ (otherwise we multiply ν by a constant). By the exponential mixing of the two–point processes (Theorem 4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)\right)^2 = \iint_{M\times M} \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)}(b(x_t)b(y_t))d\nu(x)d\nu(y) \leq \\ C_1\|b\|^2e^{-\theta t}\iint\frac{d\nu(x)d\nu(y)}{d^p(x,y)} \leq C_2 I_p(\nu)e^{-\theta t}.\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)\right| \leq C_3 I_p(\nu)^{1/2}e^{-\theta t/2} \ . \tag{64}$$

This shows that the expectation of the integral decays exponentially fast. Now we shall use standard arguments based on Borel-Cantelli Lemma to show that $\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)$ itself decays to zero exponentially fast.

Fix small positive α and κ, to be specified later. Let $\tau_{n,j} = n + je^{-\kappa n}$, $0 \leq j \leq e^{\kappa n}$. By Chebyshev inequality, (64) implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\int b(x_{\tau_{n,j}})d\nu(x)\right| > I_p(\nu)^{1/2}e^{-\alpha n}\right\} \leq C_3 e^{(\alpha-\theta/2)n} \ .$$

Taking the sum over j,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{0\leq j<e^{\kappa n}}\left|\int b(x_{\tau_{n,j}})d\nu(x)\right| > I_p(\nu)^{1/2}e^{-\alpha n}\right\} \leq C_3 e^{(\kappa+\alpha-\theta/2)n} \ . \tag{65}$$

Next we consider the oscillation of $\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)$ on the interval $[\tau_{n,j}, \tau_{n,j+1}]$. By Ito formula, due to (1),

$$\begin{aligned}\int b(x_t)d\nu(x) - \int b(x_{\tau_{n,j}})d\nu(x) = \\ \sum_{k=1}^d \int_{\tau_{n,j}}^t \int a_k(x_s)d\nu(x)d\theta_k(s) + \int_{\tau_{n,j}}^t\int a_0(x_s)d\nu(x)ds \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where a_k, $k = 0, \dots, d$ are bounded functions on M. Each of the integrals $\int_{\tau_{n,j}}^t \int a_k(x_s)d\nu(x)d\theta_k(s)$ can be obtained from a Brownian motion by a random time change. This time change has a bounded derivative, since a_k are bounded. The absolute value of the last term on the right side of (66) is not greater than

$\frac{e^{-\kappa n/4}}{2(d+1)}$ for sufficiently large n. Therefore, for suitable $C_4, C_5, C_6 > 0$, which are independent of ν since $\nu(M) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\tau_{n,j}\le t_1\le t_2\le\tau_{n,j+1}}\left|\int b(x_{t_1})d\nu(x) - \int b(x_{t_2})d\nu(x)\right| \ge e^{-\kappa n/4}\right\} \le \\ &d\cdot\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{0\le t_1\le t_2\le C_4e^{-\kappa n}}|w(t_1)-w(t_2)| \ge \frac{e^{-\kappa n/4}}{d+1}\right\} \le \qquad (67) \\ &C_5\exp\left(-\frac{(\frac{e^{-\kappa n/4}}{d+1})^2}{2C_4e^{-\kappa n}}\right)\exp(\frac{\kappa n}{2}) = C_5\exp(-C_6e^{\kappa n/2})\exp(\frac{\kappa n}{2})\ .\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (65) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{n\le t\le n+1}&\left|\int b(x_t)d\nu(x)\right| > I_p(\nu)^{1/2}(e^{-\alpha n} + e^{-\kappa n/2})\} \\ &\le C_3e^{(\kappa+\alpha-\theta/2)n} + C_5e^{\frac{3\kappa n}{2}}\exp(-C_6e^{\kappa n/2})\ .\end{aligned}$$

This implies (62) if we take $\alpha = \kappa = \gamma = \theta/10$ in the last inequality, and take the sum over all n such that $n \ge t_0 - 1$. Q.E.D.

7. CLT FOR MEASURES

7.1. **Energy estimate.**

We prove the lemma which is needed in order to control the growth of the the $p-$energy of a measure. Let $\nu_t(\Omega) = \nu(x_t \in \Omega)$. Also we shall write $\nu(f) = \int f d\nu$.

Lemma 9. *If p is small enough, then for some $C > 0$*

$$\mathbb{E}(I_p(\nu_t)) \le I_p(\nu) + C.$$

Proof: Fix a sufficiently small positive r. The goal is to estimate from above

$$\mathbb{E}(I_p(\nu_t)) = \mathbb{E}\iint \frac{d\nu(x)\ d\nu(y)}{d^p(x_t, y_t)}. \qquad (68)$$

To get an upper estimate we take care of when points x_t's and y_t's come close one to the other. Consider two random sets:

$$\begin{aligned}I_t^{0,-} &= \{(x,y)\in M\times M:\ \forall 0\le s\le t\quad d(x_s,y_s) < r/2\},\\ I_t^{0,+} &= M\times M\setminus I_t^{0,-}.\end{aligned}$$

For each pair of points (x, y) from $I_t^{0,+}$ we define the sequence of stopping times $\{\sigma_j(x,y)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ (resp. $\{\tau_j(x,y)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ with $\tau_j(x,y) > \sigma_j(x,y)$ for all j) of hitting $r/2$-neighborhood (resp. r-neighborhood) $\Delta_{r/2}$ (resp. Δ_r) of the diagonal. Now we subdivide the set $I_t^{0,+}$ into random subsets

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^{j,-} &= \{(x,y) \in M \times M : \sigma_j(x,y) \le t < \tau_j(x,y)\}, \\ I_t^{j,+} &= \{(x,y) \in M \times M : \tau_j(x,y) \le t < \sigma_{j+1}(x,y)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

By Fubini's Theorem it suffices to show that for some $C_1,\ C_2 > 0$ and any $t > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\ d^{-p}(x_t, y_t) < C_1 d^{-p}(x,y) + C_2. \tag{70}$$

Decompose $\mathbb{E} d^{-p}(x_t, y_t)$ into the sum

$$\mathbb{E}\ d^{-p}(x_t, y_t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathbb{E}\{d^{-p}(x_t, y_t)\ \chi_{I_t^{j,+}}\} + \mathbb{E}\{d^{-p}(x_t, y_t)\ \chi_{I_t^{j,-}}\}), \tag{71}$$

where $\chi_{I_t^{j,+}}$ and $\chi_{I_t^{j,+}}$ are the characteristic functions. The first term is uniformly bounded because $d(x_t, y_t) \ge r/2$. The second term means that at a time $\sigma_j(x,y) \le t$ we have $d(x_{\sigma_j(x,y)}, y_{\sigma_j(x,y)}) = r/2$. By renewal of the solution of the flow (1) we can apply arguments from [BS] (see proof of Theorem 3.19 on page 202) which say that $d^{-p}(x_s, y_s)$ is a supermartingale, i.e. $E\{d^{-p}(x_t, y_t)\ \chi_{I_t^{j,-}}\} \le (2/r)^p$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

7.2. **Moment estimates and the Proof of the Main Result.** This section is devoted to the proof of the main result of the paper: CLT for the passive tracer (Theorem 3). Recall that we start with a nonrandom measure ν of finite p-energy $I_p(\nu) < \infty$ for some $p > 0$, a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms (1), and an additive functional $\{A_t :\ t > 0\}$ of the one–point motion, defined by the stochastic differential equation (13).

Let $\chi(t,\xi) = \nu(\exp\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}} A_t\})$ be the characteristic function of the functional $A_t(x)$. Below we shall see that $\chi(t,\xi)$ is equicontinuous in ξ when $\xi \in K,\ t \in \mathbb{N}$ on a set of measure $1 - \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ and a compact set K are arbitrary. We shall further see that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\nu(|A_t - A_{[t]}|)}{\sqrt{t}} = 0 \text{ almost surely.} \tag{72}$$

Finally we shall see that there exists $D(A)$, such that for any ξ fixed

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \chi(n,\xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{D(A)\xi^2}{2}\right) \text{ almost surely.} \tag{73}$$

Combining (73) with (72) above, and with the equicontinuity of χ, we obtain Theorem 3. Thus it remains to verify the equicontinuity of χ and formulas (72)-(73).

In the next three lemmas we estimate the growth rate of A_t and of its moments.

Lemma 10. *Let δ, N_0, and N be arbitrary positive numbers. There exists $C = C(\delta, N_0, N)$, such that for any $t > 0$, and any signed measure ν, which satisfies $|\nu|(M) \leq 1$, $I_p(|\nu|) \leq t^{N_0}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(A_t)| > t^\delta\} \leq Ct^{-N} \ .$$

Proof: Write the equation for $\nu(A_t)$ in Ito's form

$$\nu(A_t) = \int_0^t \int \hat{a}(x_s) d\nu(x) ds + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \int \alpha_k(x_s) d\nu(x) d\theta_k(s) \ .$$

By Lemma 8

$$\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t^{\delta/3} \leq s \leq t} \left| \int b(x_s) d\nu(x) \right| > e^{-\gamma t^{\delta/3}} t^{N_0/2}\} \leq Ce^{-\gamma t^{\delta/3}} \ , \tag{74}$$

where $b(x)$ is either $\hat{a}(x)$ or one of $\alpha_k(x)$. The integrals $\int_0^{t^{\delta/3}} \int \hat{a}(x_s) d\nu(x) ds$ and $\sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^{t^{\delta/3}} [\int \alpha_k(x_s) d\nu(x)] d\theta_k(s)$ are estimated using the facts that $\hat{a}$ and α_k are bounded and that the stochastic integrals can be viewed as Brownian motions with a random time change. The same integrals over the interval $[t^{\delta/3}, t]$ are similarly estimated using (74). Q.E.D.

The proof of (72) is similar to the proof of Lemma 10 - one can write the expression for A_t in Ito's form, and then use the fact that the stochastic integral can be viewed as a time changed Brownian motion. Alternatively, (72) follows from a more general result in [LS].

Lemma 11. *There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any $k \geq 0$ and any initial point x*

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left\{ \frac{|A_t|}{\sqrt{t}} > k \right\} \leq C \exp\left(-\tfrac{k^2}{C}\right) \ . \tag{75}$$

Proof: Recall that $A_t = \int_0^t \hat{a}(x_s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^d \int_0^t \alpha_k(x_s) d\theta_k(s)$. For the first term by the large deviation theory

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left\{ \frac{|\int_0^t \hat{a}(x_s) ds|}{\sqrt{t}} > k \right\} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{C}\right) \quad \text{for some} \quad C > 0,$$

since x_s is a mixing diffusion process on a compact manifold. For each of the stochastic integrals, recall that $\int_0^t \alpha_k(x_s) d\theta_k(s)$ can be viewed as a time changed Brownian motion, with the derivative of the time change bounded. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_x \left\{ \frac{|\int_0^t \alpha_k(x_s) d\theta_k(s)|}{\sqrt{t}} > k \right\} \leq \\ &\mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\sup_{s \leq ct} |W_s|}{\sqrt{t}} > k \right\} \leq C \ \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{C}\right) \quad \text{for some} \quad C > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Therefore the estimate (75) holds, with possibly a different constant C. Q.E.D.

Lemma 12. *For any positive* δ *and any* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for any measure* ν *with* $|\nu|(M) \leq 1$ *and any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|\nu|(|A_t|^n) > n! t^{(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)n}\right\} \leq C t^{-N-\frac{\delta n}{2}} \ . \tag{77}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that ν is a probability measure. By Jensen's inequality $(\int |A_t|^n d\nu)^l \leq \int |A_t|^{nl} d\nu$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. It is therefore sufficient to estimate the probability $\mathbb{P}\{\nu(|A|^{nl}) > (n!)^l t^{(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)nl}\}$. By Chebyshev inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\nu\left(|A|^{nl}\right) > (n!)^l t^{(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)nl}\right\} \leq \frac{\int \mathbb{E}_x |A|^{nl} d\nu(x)}{(n!)^l t^{(\frac{1}{2}+\delta)nl}} \ . \tag{78}$$

Take $l > \frac{2N}{\delta}$. Then the right side of (78) is not greater than $\frac{\sup_x \mathbb{E}_x |A|^{nl}}{(n!)^l t^{\frac{1}{2}nl}} t^{-N-\frac{\delta n}{2}}$. This is less than $Ct^{-N-\frac{\delta n}{2}}$ by Lemma 11. Q.E.D.

Put $n_t = [t^{1/3}]$, $\tau_t = t/n_t$, and for each $0 < s < t$ denote the increment of the functional $A_t(x)$ from time s to time t by

$$\Delta_{s,t}(x) = A_t(x) - A_s(x). \tag{79}$$

We split the time interval $[0,t]$ into n_t equal parts and decompose

$$A_t(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_t-1} \Delta_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t}(x). \tag{80}$$

The idea is to prove that this is a sum of weakly dependent random variables and that the CLT holds for almost every realization of the Brownian motion. We need an estimate on the correlation between the inputs from different time intervals. For any positive τ, s, and l with $\tau \leq l$ we denote

$$\nu(\Delta_{l-\tau,l}\Delta_{l,l+s}) = \int \Delta_{l-\tau,l}(y)\Delta_{l,l+s}(y) d\nu(y).$$

Lemma 13. *Let some positive* $c_1, c_2, \gamma_1,$ *and* γ_2 *be fixed, and consider* s *and* τ *which satisfy* $c_1 l^{\gamma_1} \leq s, \tau \leq c_2 l^{\gamma_2}$. *For any positive* δ, N, *and* N_0 *there exists a constant* C *such that for* $l \geq C$, *and any measure* ν, *which satisfies* $|\nu|(M) \leq 1$, $I_p(|\nu|) \leq l^{N_0}$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_{l-\tau,l}\Delta_{l,l+s})| > l^\delta\} \leq l^{-N} \ . \tag{81}$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that ν is a probability measure. We start by decomposing each of the segments $[l-\tau, l], [l, l+s]$ into two:

$$[l-\tau, l] = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = [l-\tau, l-\ln^2 l] \cup [l - \ln^2 l, l] \ ,$$

$$[l, l+s] = \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4 = [l, l+\ln^2 l] \cup [l+\ln^2 l, l+s] \ ,$$

We denote

$$\bar{\Delta}_{a,b} = \Delta_{a,b} \chi_{\{\Delta_{a,b} \le (b-a)^2\}} \ .$$

By Lemma 11 there is a constant C such that

$$(\mathbb{E}_x \Delta_{a,b}^2)^{1/2} \le C(1+b-a) \text{ and}$$

$$(\mathbb{E}_x(\Delta_{a,b} - \bar{\Delta}_{a,b})^2)^{1/2} \le Ce^{-\frac{b-a}{C}} \ .$$

Therefore for two segments $[a,b]$ and $[c,d]$ such that $b \le c$

$$|\mathbb{E}_x(\Delta_{a,b}\Delta_{c,d} - \bar{\Delta}_{a,b}\bar{\Delta}_{c,d})| = |\mathbb{E}_x((\Delta_{a,b} - \bar{\Delta}_{a,b})\Delta_{c,d}) + \mathbb{E}_x(\bar{\Delta}_{a,b}(\Delta_{c,d} - \bar{\Delta}_{c,d}))| \le$$

$$C(1+|b-a|+|d-c|)(e^{-\frac{b-a}{C}} + e^{-\frac{d-c}{C}}) \ .$$

After using Chebyshev's inequality we obtain that for any positive k

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_{a,b}\Delta_{c,d}) - \nu(\bar{\Delta}_{a,b}\bar{\Delta}_{c,d})| > k\} \le \frac{C(1+|b-a|+|d-c|)(e^{-\frac{b-a}{C}} + e^{-\frac{d-c}{C}})}{k} \tag{82}$$

In the same way one obtains

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_{a,b}\Delta_{c,d}) - \nu(\bar{\Delta}_{a,b}\Delta_{c,d})| > k\} \le \frac{C(1+|b-a|+|d-c|)(e^{-\frac{b-a}{C}} + e^{-\frac{d-c}{C}})}{k} \tag{83}$$

The contribution from $\nu(\Delta_2\Delta_3)$ is estimated using estimate (82) with $k = l^\delta$: for any positive δ and N for sufficiently large l

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_2\Delta_3)| > l^\delta\} \le l^{-N} \ .$$

The contribution from each of the other three products is estimated using the fact that the segments are separated by a distance of order $\ln^2 l$. Let us for example prove that $\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_1\Delta_3)| > l^\delta\} \le l^{-N}$. By taking $k = l^\delta$ in (83) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\nu(\Delta_1\Delta_3) - \nu(\bar{\Delta}_1\Delta_3)| > l^\delta\} \le l^{-N} \ .$$

In order to estimate $\nu(\bar{\Delta}_1\Delta_3)$ we apply the change of measure

$$\nu(\bar{\Delta}_1\Delta_3) = \int \bar{\Delta}_{l-\tau, l-\ln^2 l}\Delta_{l, l+\ln^2 l} d\nu(x) = \int \Delta_{\ln^2 l, 2\ln^2 l}(x) d\hat{\nu}(x) \ , \tag{84}$$

where

$$\hat{\nu}(A) = \int \chi_{\{x_{l-\ln^2 l} \in A\}} \bar{\Delta}_{l-\tau, l-\ln^2 l}(x) d\nu(x) \ .$$

The measure $\hat{\nu}$ has a density with respect to $\nu_{l-\ln^2 l}$ which is bounded by l^2 since $\bar{\Delta}_{l-\tau,l-\ln^2 l}$ is bounded. Therefore by Lemma 9 for any positive N there exists M such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{I_p(\hat{\nu}) > l^M\} \leq l^{-N} \ .$$

The right side of (84) is written as

$$\int \Delta_{\ln^2 l, 2\ln^2 l}(x) d\hat{\nu}(x) = \int_{\ln^2 l}^{2\ln^2 l} \int \hat{a}(x_s) d\hat{\nu}(x) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\ln^2 l}^{2\ln^2 l} \int \alpha_k(x_s) d\hat{\nu}(x) d\theta_k(s) \ .$$

We now proceed as in the proof of Lemma 10. The contribution from $\nu(\Delta_1\Delta_4)$ and $\nu(\Delta_2\Delta_4)$ is estimated in exactly the same way. Q.E.D.

Our next statement concerns the asymptotic behavior of the second moment of the functional A_t

Lemma 14. *The following limit exists and the convergence is uniform in the initial point x*

$$D(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_x(A_n^2)}{n} \ . \tag{85}$$

Proof: Let $\gamma_j = A_{j+1} - A_j$. Then

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_x(A_n)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i^2)}{n} + \frac{2\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n-i} \mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r})}{n}. \tag{86}$$

The following two statements imply the existence of the limits for the two sums on the right hand side of (86)

(a) $|\mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r})| \leq Ce^{-\delta r}$ for some positive C and δ.

(b) $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r}) = f_r$ uniformly in x for some f_r.

To prove (a) we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r})| &= |\mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i E(\gamma_{i+r}|\mathcal{F}_{i+1}))| \\ &\leq \left\{\mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i^2)\right\}^{1/2} \left\{\sup_y |\mathbb{E}_y(\gamma_{r-1})|\right\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Here we assumed that $r \geq 1$. The case $r = 1$ follows from the next estimate. The first factor is estimated as

$$\left\{\mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i^2)\right\}^{1/2} \leq \sup_y \left\{\mathbb{E}_y(A_1^2)\right\}^{1/2} = C \ .$$

The last expectation is equal to

$$|\mathbb{E}_y(\gamma_{r-1})| = |\mathbb{E}_y \int_{r-1}^{r} \hat{a}(y_t) dt| \leq ce^{-\delta r} \ ,$$

where the inequality is due to the exponential mixing of the one–point process. This proves (a).

Let $\phi_r(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_0\gamma_r)$. The following limit exists and is uniform in x by the ergodic theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r}) &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(\mathbb{E}\gamma_i\gamma_{i+r}|\mathcal{F}_i) \\ = \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(\phi_r(x_i)) &= \int \phi_r(y)d\mu(y) = f_r \ .\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

This proves (b). Q.E.D.

The next lemma provides a linear bound (in probability) on the growth of $\nu(A_t^2)$. Note that such a bound implies the equicontinuity of $\chi(t,\xi)$ in the sense discussed above.

Lemma 15. *For any positive N, N_0, and $\rho > 0$ there is $C > 0$ such that for any measure ν which satisfies $|\nu|(M) \le 1$, $I_p(|\nu|) \le t^{N_0}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\nu(A_t^2) - \nu(M)D(A)t\right| \ge \rho t\right\} \le C\ t^{-N}.$$

Proof: Let us prove that $\mathbb{P}\{\nu(A_t^2) - \nu(M)D(A)t \ge \rho t\} \le Ct^{-N}$. The estimate from below can be proved similarly. Consider the event Q_t that $I_p(\nu_{j\tau_t}) \le t^{N_1}$ for all $j < n_t$. By Lemma 9 we have $\mathbb{P}\{Q_t\} \ge 1 - t^{-N}$ if a sufficiently large N_1 is selected. Fix any δ with $0 < \delta < 1/20$. Let R_t be the event that $\nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t}) \le \tau_t^{1+\delta}$ for all $j < n_t$. By Lemma 12 we have $\mathbb{P}\{R_t\} \ge 1 - t^{-N}$. Let $\beta_j = \nu(\Delta^2_{(j-1)\tau_t,j\tau_t})\chi_{\{Q_t\cap R_t\}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_j = \sum_{k=1}^{j}\beta_k$. We shall prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_j - j(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} \le (1-\rho t^{-1/6}/2)^j \ . \tag{88}$$

The proof will proceed by induction on j. First we show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\beta_j - (\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} \le (1-\rho t^{-1/6}/2) \ . \tag{89}$$

Indeed, using Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\beta_j - (\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} = 1 + \\ \mathbb{E}\frac{\beta_j - (\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}} + \mathbb{E}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\beta_j - (\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)^k/k! \ .\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Since by definition $\beta_j \le \nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t})$, we have $\mathbb{E}\beta_j \le \mathbb{E}\nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t})$. From Lemma 15 it easily follows that $\mathbb{E}\nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t}) \le (\nu(M)D(A)+\rho/4)\tau_t$ for large t. The expectation of the infinite sum is less than $\rho t^{-1/6}/4$ since $\beta_j \le \tau_t^{1+\delta}$. This proves (89).

Assume that (88) holds for some j. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{j+1}-(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)(j+1)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} = \\ &\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{j}-(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)j\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right. \\ &\left.\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\beta_{j+1}-(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{j\tau_j}\right)\right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

Due to (89) and since $I_p(\nu_{j\tau_t}) \leq t^{N_1}$ on Q_t by the by the Markov property the conditional expectation on the right side of (91) is not greater than $1-\rho t^{-1/6}/2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{j+1}-(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)(j+1)\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} \leq \\ &(1-\rho t^{-1/6}/2)\mathbb{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{j}-(\nu(M)D(A)+\rho)j\tau_t}{t^{5/6}}\right)\right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

This proves (88). It follows from (88) with $j = n_t$ that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{B}_{n_t} - \nu(M)D(A)t \geq \rho t\} \leq Ct^{-N}$$

Recall that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathcal{B}_{n_t} \neq \sum_{j=0}^{n_t-1} \nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t})\right\} \leq Ct^{-N}.$$

by Lemmas 9 and 12.

Finally, direct application of Lemma 14 to pair products of Δ's at different time segments gives that for any positive δ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\nu(A_t^2) - \sum_{j=0}^{n_t-1} \nu(\Delta^2_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t})\right| > t^{1/3+\delta}\right\} \leq Ct^{-N} \tag{93}$$

for sufficiently large t. This completes the proof of the lemma. Q.E.D.

Lemma 16. *Let ρ, N_0, and N be arbitrary positive numbers. There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any $t > 0$, and any signed measure ν, which satisfies $|\nu|(M) \leq 1$, $I_p(|\nu|) \leq t^{N_0}$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\nu\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,\tau_t}\right\}\right) - \nu(M)\left(1-\frac{D(A)\xi^2}{2t^{1/3}}\right)\right| \geq \rho t^{-1/3}\right\} \leq Ct^{-N} .$$

Proof Lemma 16: Consider the Taylor expansion of the function $\exp(\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,\tau_t}(x))$

$$\begin{aligned}(94)\quad &\nu\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,\tau_t}\right\}\right) = \\ &\nu(M) + \frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\nu\left(\Delta_{0,\tau_t}\right) - \frac{\xi^2}{2t}\nu\left(\Delta^2_{0,\tau_t}\right) + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}(\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}})^k\nu\left(\Delta^k_{0,\tau_t}\right)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 10 for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\nu\left(\Delta_{0,\tau_t}(x)\right)\right| > t^{-1/2+\delta}\right\} < Ct^{-N} \ . \tag{95}$$

By Lemma 15 almost certainly we have

$$\left|\nu\left(\Delta^2_{0,\tau_t}(x)\right) - \nu(M)D(A)\tau_t\right| \leq \rho\tau_t. \tag{96}$$

To estimate the tail we apply Lemma 12. This proves the lemma. Q.E.D.

Proof Theorem 3: It remains to demonstrate that (73) holds. First we show that

$$\begin{aligned}(97)\quad \mathbb{P}\Bigg\{\Bigg|\nu\left(\exp\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,(j+1)\tau_t}\}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{D(A)\xi^2}{2t^{1/3}}\right)\nu\left(\exp\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,j\tau_t}\}\right)\Bigg| \\ \geq \rho t^{-1/3}\Bigg\} \leq Ct^{-N}.\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}(98)\quad \nu\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,(j+1)\tau_t}\right\}\right) = \nu\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,j\tau_t}\right\}\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{j\tau_t,(j+1)\tau_t}\right\}\right) = \\ \hat{\nu}\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,\tau_t}\right\}\right) \ ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\nu}$ is a random measure, defined by

$$\hat{\nu}(A) = \int \exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,j\tau_t}\right\}\chi_{\{x_{j\tau_t}\in A\}}d\nu(x) \ .$$

Note that by Lemma 9 for some N_0

$$\mathbb{P}\{I_p(|\hat{\nu}|) > t^{N_0}\} \leq Ct^{-N} \ .$$

Thus the right side of (98) can be estimated with the help of Lemma 16

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\hat{\nu}\left(\exp\left\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}}\Delta_{0,\tau_t}\right\}\right) - \hat{\nu}(M)\left(1 - \frac{D(A)\xi^2}{2t^{1/3}}\right)\right| \geq \rho t^{-1/3}\right\} \leq Ct^{-N} \ .$$

This is exactly the same as (97). Applying (97) recursively for $j = n_t - 1, ..., 1$ we obtain that for any positive N and ρ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{(1 - \frac{D(A)\xi^2 + \rho}{2t^{1/3}})^{n_t} \leq \nu \left(\exp\{\frac{i\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \Delta_{0,j\tau_t}\} \right) \leq (1 - \frac{D(A)\xi^2 - \rho}{2t^{1/3}})^{n_t}\} \geq 1 - Ct^{-N} \ .$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exp(-\frac{D(A)\xi^2 + \rho}{2}) \leq \chi(t,\xi) \leq \exp(-\frac{D(A)\xi^2 - \rho}{2})\} \geq 1 - Ct^{-N} \ .$$

This implies (73), which completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

8. THE DISSIPATIVE CASE

In this section we extend our CLT for measures (Theorem 3), proved in the previous section for measure-preserving stochastic flows (see condition (A)) to the dissipative case. In other words, we consider stochastic flows defined by the stochastic differential equation (1) satisfying conditions (B), (C_2), (D), and (E) the sum of whose Lyapunov exponents is negative. First, notice that without measure-preservation assumption it is no longer true that generically the largest Lyapunov exponent is positive. However, the case when all the Lyapunov exponents are negative is well understood [L3], so we shall concentrate on the case with at least one positive eigenvalue. The main result of this section is CLT for measures (Theorem 7 below).

Let m be the invariant measure of the one–point process, which is unique by hypoellipticity assumption (B). Let m_2 be the invariant measure of the two-point process which is supported away from the diagonal. Such a measure exists and is unique for the processes with positive largest exponent by the results of [BS]. Moreover we have exponential convergence to m_2 since the proof of Theorem 4 never used the assumption of volume preservation.

Theorem 6. *With the notations above there is a family of probability measures* $\{\mu_t : \ t > 0\}$ *such that*
(A) For any measure ν *of finite p-energy*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^*_{-n,t}(\nu) = \mu_t$$

almost surely.
(B) the process $t \to \mu_t$ *is Markovian and push forward* ϕ_t *by the time* t *stochastic flow (1) satisfies* $\phi^*_t(\mu_0) = \mu_t$*;*
(C) For any continuous function A *for any measure* ν *of positive p–energy*

$$|\nu(A(x_t)) - \mu_t(A)| \to 0 \quad as \quad t \to +\infty$$

almost surely.

Remark 3. The measures μ_t and especially their dimensional characteristics were studied in several papers [LY1, LY2, L1, L2, L3]. The questions which we discuss here are different from the ones studied in these papers and we will not use any of their results.

Let $\{A_t : t > 0\}$ be an additive functional of the one–point motion satisfying (13) and (15). Denote by $\bar{a}(t) = \mu_t(a)$ and $\bar{\alpha}_k(t) = \mu_t(\alpha_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$ averages of a and α_k's with respect to μ_t and define two additive functionals

$$\begin{aligned} dC_t &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} \bar{\alpha}_k(t) \circ d\theta_k(t) + \bar{a}(t)dt, \\ dB_t(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\alpha_k(x_t) - \bar{\alpha}_k(t)) \circ d\theta_k(t) + (a(x_t) - \bar{a}(t))dt. \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Note that by the standard theory of Markov processes $C_t^* = \frac{C_t}{\sqrt{t}}$ is asymptotically Gaussian with zero mean and some variance $D''(A)$.[2]

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathcal{M}_t^{\theta,*}$ be the measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined on Borel sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{\theta,*}(\Omega) = \nu \left\{ x \in M : \frac{B_t^\theta(x)}{\sqrt{t}} \in \Omega \right\}. \tag{100}$$

Then there is a constant $D'(A)$ such that almost surely $\mathcal{M}_t^{\theta,*}$ converges weakly to a Gaussian measure with zero mean and variance $D'(A)$.

We can reformulate Theorem 7 as follows.

Corollary 8. *(a) Almost surely for large t the measure $\mathcal{M}_t^\theta$ defined by (17) is asymptotically Gaussian with a random drift C_t^* and deterministic variance $D'(A)$.*
(b) As $t \to +\infty$ the distribution of the drift is asymptotically Gaussian with zero mean and the variance $D''(A)$.

Proof of Theorem 6: Given a measure ν of finite p-energy denote

$$\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu) = \phi_{-n,t}\nu.$$

Lemma 17. *There is a constant $\rho < 1$ such that $\forall A \in C(M)$ $\forall t$ $\forall \nu_1, \nu_2$ of finite p energy almost surely there exists a constant $C = C(\theta)$ such that*

$$\left| \mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_1)(A) - \mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_2)(A) \right| \le C\rho^n. \tag{101}$$

---

[2] It is easy to see from Theorem 6(A) that $\forall a \in C(M)$ $\forall t$ we have $\mathbb{E}(\mu_t(a)) = m(a)$ so the vanishing of the mean follows from (15).

Proof.

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_1)(A)-\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_2)(A)\right)\right| = \\ |\mathbb{E}(A(x_t))d\nu_1(x_{-n})-\mathbb{E}(A(x_t))d\nu_2(x_{-n})| \leq \text{Const } \rho_1^n\end{gathered} \tag{102}$$

since both terms are exponentially close to $m(A)$ by the exponential mixing of one–point process. Likewise

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbb{E}\left(\left[\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_1)(A)-\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_2)(A)\right]^2\right) = \\ \iint A(x_t)A(y_t)d(\nu_1\times\nu_1)(x_{-n},y_{-n}) + \\ \iint A(x_t)A(y_t)d(\nu_2\times\nu_2)(x_{-n},y_{-n}) - \\ 2\iint A(x_t)A(y_t)d(\nu_1\times\nu_2)(x_{-n},y_{-n}) \leq \text{Const } \rho_2^n\end{gathered} \tag{103}$$

since the first two terms are exponentially close to $m_2(A\times A)$ and the last term is exponentially close to $2m_2(A\times A)$. Thus the result follows by Borel–Cantelli. Q.E.D.

Lemma 18. $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu)$ *exists almost surely and if* ν_1 *and* ν_2 *are two different measures then* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_1)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu_2)$ *almost surely.*

Remark 4. This proves part (A) of Theorem 6.

Proof. The second part follows immediately from Lemma 17. The first part follows the fact that almost surely there is a random constant $C(\theta)$ such that

$$\left|\mu_t^{(n+1)}(A)-\mu_t^{(n)}(A)\right| \leq C(\theta)\rho^n \tag{104}$$

The proof of (104) is similar to the proof of (101) and can be left to the reader. Q.E.D.

By the construction we have part (B) of Theorem 6

Lemma 19. *With the notations above the family of measures* $\{\mu_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ *satisfies* $\phi_{s,t}\mu_s=\mu_t$ *almost surely.*

Thus, $t\mapsto\mu_t$ is a Markov process and it has a continuous modification. Notice also that for any smooth function A on M we have $\mathbb{E}(\mu_t(A))=m(A)$ for every $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, because $\mathbb{E}(\mu_t^{(n)}(A))\to m(A)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Lemma 20. *With the notations above for any measure* ν *with finite p-energy for some p we have* $\forall A\in C^\infty(M)$

$$|\nu(A(x_t))-\mu_t(A)|\leq C(\theta)e^{-\gamma t}.$$

Remark 5. This is part (C) of Theorem 6.

Proof. Following the argument of the proof of Lemma 17 we get for any two measures ν_1 and ν_2 of positive p-energy

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|\nu_1(A(x_t)) - \nu_2(A(x_t))| \geq r\right\} \leq \frac{\text{Const } e^{-\gamma_1 t}}{r}.$$

Taking $\nu_2 = \mu_0$ we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|\nu(A(x_t)) - \mu_t(A)| \geq r\right\} \leq \frac{\text{Const } e^{-\gamma_1 t}}{r}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 8. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 7: The proof of this Theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 3. Q.E.D.

Remark 6. In the conservative case $\mu_t \equiv m$, so $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_k$ are non-random and so Theorem 7 reduces to Theorem 3. Conversely, if $\forall A \in C^\infty(M)$ we have $D''(A) = 0$ then μ_t does not depend on t and do $\nu_t(A) = \mathbb{E}(\mu_t(A)) = m(A)$. Using (103) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left[\mu_t^{(n)}(\nu)(A) - m(A)\right]^2\right) =$$

$$\iint A(x_t)A(y_t)d(\nu \times \nu)(x_{-n}, y_{-n}) + m(A)^2 - 2m(A)\int A(x_t)d(x_{-n}) \leq \text{Const } \rho_2^n$$

The first term here is close to $m_2(A \times A)$ and the sum of the other two is close to $-m(A)^2$. Since n is arbitrary we get $m_2(A \times A) = m(A)^2$. By polarization $\forall A, B \in C(M)$

$$\iint A(x)B(y)dm_2(x, y) = m(A)m(B).$$

Hence $m_2 = m \times m$. By [K] this implies that each $\phi_{s,t}$ preserves m. Hence we can characterize the conservative case by the condition that the drift in Corollary 8 is non-random.

Acknowledgement. This paper was started during the conference Nonlinear Analysis, 2000. D. D. is grateful to IMA for the travel grant to attend this conference. During the work on this paper we enjoyed the hospitability of IMPA, Rio de Janeiro and of Universidad Autonoma de Madrid. We are espesially grateful to Antonio Cordoba and Diego Cordoba for providing an excellent working conditions in Madrid. Authors would like to thank P. Friz and S.R.S. Varadhan for useful comments.

References

[Ba] P. Baxendale, *The Lyapunov spectrum of a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms*, LNM, **1186**, 322–337, (1986);

[BH] P. Baxendale, T. Harris, *Isotropic Stochastic Flows*, Ann. Prob., **14**, 1155–1179, (1986);

[BS] P. Baxendale, D. W. Stroock, *Large deviations and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms,* Prob. Th. & Rel. Fields **80**, 169–215, (1988);

[Bk] Gar. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory* Providence, 1967;

[BL] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix, *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrodinger operators,* 1985;

[CC] R. Carmona, F. Cerou, *Transport by incompressible random velocity fields: Simulations and Mathematical Conjectures*, 153–181, AMS, Providence, 1999;

[C1] A. Carverhill, *A Formula for the Lyapunov numbers of a stochastic flows. Applications to a perturbation theorem*, Stochastics, **14**, 209–225, (1985);

[C2] A. Carverhill, *Flows of stochatic dynamical systems: ergodic theory*, Stochastics, **14**, 273–317, (1985);

[CSS1] M. Cranston, M. Scheutzow, D. Steinsaltz, *Linear bounds for stochastic dispersion*, Ann. Probab. **28**, no.4, 1852–1869, (2000);

[CSS2] M. Cranston, M. Scheutzow, D. Steinsaltz, *Linear and near–linear bounds for stochastic dispersion,* Elect. Comm. in Probability, **4**, 91–101, (1999);

[CS] M. Cranston, M. Scheutzow, *Dispersion rates under finite mode Kolmogorov flows*, preprint;

[Da] R. Davis, *Lagrangian Ocean Studies*, Ann. Rev. Fluid ech., **23**, 43–64, (1991);

[Do] J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley and Sons;

[ES] K. Elworthy, D. Stroock, *Large deviation theory for mean exponents of stochastic flows*, Stochastic Processes, mathematics and physics, LNM, **1158**, 72–80, (1986);

[H] T. Harris, *Brownian Motion on the homeomorphisms of the plane*, Ann. Prob., **9**, 232–254, (1981);

[I] M. Isichenko, *Percolation, Statistical topography and transport in random media*, Reviews in Modern Physics, 1992;

[K] H. Kunita, *Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990;

[LY1] F. Ledrappier & L.-S. Young, *Dimension formula for random transformations,* Comm. Math. Phys. **117**, 529–548, (1988);

[LY2] F. Ledrappier & L.-S. Young, *Entropy formula for random transformations,* Prob. Th., Rel. Fields **80**, 217–240, (1988);

[L1] Y. Le Jan, *On Isotropic Brownian Motion*, Zeit. fur Wahr., **70**, 609–620, (1985);

[L2] Y. Le Jan, *Hausdorff dimension for the statistical equilibrium of stochastic flows,* Lect. Notes in Math. **1158**, 201–207, (1986);

[L3] Y. Le Jan, *Equilibre statistique pour les produits de diffeomorphisms aleatores independants,* C.R. Acad. Sci. Par. Ser. I Math. **302**, (1986), 351–354;

[Le] R. Leandre, *Minoration en temps petit de la densite d'une diffusion degeneree*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **74**, 399-414, (1987);

[LS] H. Lisei, M. Scheutzow, *Linear Bounds and Gaussian Tails in a Stochastic Dispersion Model*, preprint;

[Ma] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995;

[Mo] S. Molchanov, *Topics in Statistical Oceanography: in Stochastic Modelling in Physical Oceanography*, 343–380, Boston, 1996, Birkhauser;

[MY] A. Monin, A. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics: Mechanism of Turbulence*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1971;

[RT] D. Ruelle, F. Takens *On the nature of turbulence,* Comm. Math. Phys. **20**, 167–192, (1971);

[SS] M. Scheutzow, D. Steinzaltz, *Chasing balls through martingale fields*, preprint;

[Y] A. Yaglom, *Correlation Theory of Stationary and Related Random Functions* , vol. 1: Basic Results, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[ZC] C. Zirbel, E. Cinlar, *Dispertion of particles in Brownian flows*, Adv. Appl. Prob., **28**, 53–74, (1996).

Dmitry Dolgopyat
Department of Mathematics
PennState University
University Park PA 16802
dolgop@math.psu.edu
www.math.psu.edu/dolgop/

Vadim Kaloshin
Department of
Mathematics MIT
Cambridge MA 02139
kaloshin@math.mit.edu

Leonid Koralov
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544
koralov@math.princeton.edu